

REMARKS

As a preliminary matter, Applicant's representative would like to thank the Examiner for courtesies extended in the personal interview conducted on November 13, 2008.

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTOL-413) was provided by the Examiner at the interview on November 19, 2008.

Applicant submits this Statement to comply with the requirements of M.P.E.P. 713.04.

Claims 1, 3-8, 10-16, and 18-24 are pending in the application.

By this Supplemental Amendment, claim 1 is amended. The amendment introduces no new matter.

It is noted that the claims amendments are made only for pointing out the claimed invention more particularly, and not for distinguishing the invention over the prior art, narrowing the claims, or for statutory requirements for patentability. Further Applicant specifically states that no amendment to any claim herein should be construed as a disclaimer of any interest in or right to an equivalent of any element or feature of the amended claim.

In the interview, the following was discussed:

A. Identification of claims discussed:

Claims 1, 3-8, 10-16, and 18-24.

B. Identification of prior art discussed:

Schwartz (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0091777)

C. Identification of principal proposed amendments:

Incorporating additional features into the independent claims from the dependent

claims. Applicant also proposed amendments to the claims regarding the type of editing and moving image data.

D. Brief Identification of principal arguments:

Schwartz does not disclose or suggest, for example, “editing the image data in accordance with the known address,” as recited in claim 1.

In addition, Applicant submitted that Schwartz does not disclose or suggest, for example, “a first memory area for storing addresses to which an e-mail is automatically transmitted; a second memory area for storing moving-data to be edited by a user of said mobile terminal for each of said addresses,” and “a third memory area for storing a message to be edited by said user,” as recited in claim 16.

In addition, Schwartz does not disclose or suggest, for example, a “mobile terminal having functions of transmitting and receiving an e-mail, and automatically replying to a received e-mail, comprising a controller for automatically transmitting image data determined in accordance with an address of a transmitter of said received e-mail,” as recited in claim 11, where the terminal performs the functions reserved to the server in conventional terminal devices.

E. Results of the Interview:

The Examiner indicated that the proposed amendments did not put the case in condition for allowance. The Examiner indicated that further narrowing of the claims would be required to overcome Schwartz and the state of the art of email and mobile terminals at the time the invention was made.

F. Conclusion:

In view of the foregoing, Applicant submits that claims 1, 3-8, 10-16, and 18-24, all the claims presently pending in the application, are patentably distinct over the prior art of record and are in condition for allowance. The Examiner is respectfully requested to pass the above application to issue at the earliest possible time.

Should the Examiner find the application to be other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the local telephone number listed below to discuss any other changes deemed necessary in a telephonic or personal interview.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency in fees or to credit any overpayment in fees to Attorney's Deposit Account No. 50-0481.

Date: 12/19/18

McGinn IP Law Group, PLLC
8321 Old Courthouse Road, Suite 200
Vienna, VA 22182-3817
(703) 761-4100
Customer No. 21254

Respectfully Submitted,



Joseph Peter Hrutka, Esq.
Registration No. 53,918

Sean M. McGinn, Esq.
Registration No. 34,386